

<http://www.mumbaimirror.com/mumbai/others/Catholic-priest-plans-yoga-event-sparks-debate/articleshow/47667316.cms>

**Local link:**

## **Bishop's Sin of Apostasy: In India**

An open letter to Bp. Dabre, the Bishop of Pune, India; former head of the Doctrinal Commission of the Catholic Bishops Conference of India (CBCI); and an expert in Indian culture and religion.

Your Lordship,

I am compelled to write an open letter to you as I find that it has become a norm with the church leaders either not to answer letters or mislead those who write to them. This is especially the experience of those that question the wrongdoings of the Bishops, when it has to do with serious slander, libel or heretical teachings. I too am a victim of it.

On the other hand you have so far consistently ignored the exposure of misrepresentation of Church document, on the same subject, by Michael Prabhu, our Church's Catholic apologist and myself too.

What is more you have now made use of the official mouthpiece of the Archdiocese of Bombay, The Examiner weekly (4-10 July, 2015) – the key reason that has prompted this letter; thus finding endorsement from the masters of deception. These Bishops have both fostered heretics and apostates at all level and even encouraged their erroneous teachings in India and abroad. It is so because they themselves have proved that they are apostates and heretics, and it is all there in the public domain, some of them have been exposed with proofs by Michael Prabhu of Chennai.

One of the legacies which you have inherited from the Bishops of Bombay like Bp. Bosco Penha, is the Small Christian Community (SCC), which originally started on a Pelagianistic note and has been a cause for much destruction of the faith. Though he claims that it is mentioned in certain documents of the Church, yet what the documents mentions' concerning SCC's is far removed from what is the practice here in India. Hence another example of misrepresentation of church Document by a Bishop.

**ON YOGA: Here below is your consistent date-wise misrepresentation of Church Document and concealing the fact of a more pertinent document on the subject of yoga in order to sub-serve your insidious agenda:**

**On 16<sup>th</sup> June, 2013:** Michael Prabhu, the great Indian Apologist, exposed the misrepresentation and distortion of the church documents by Bishop Thomas Dabre of Pune, India and also for leading the Goa Archbishop astray on the same issue.

**On 21st June, 2015:** On world yoga day Fr. Conrad Saldanha wrote an article on “Why Christians shouldn't dabble with yoga?” expounding it even from the Catholic point of view on his blogsite, which was widely disseminated.

**On 22<sup>nd</sup> June, 2015:** Bp. Thomas Dabre, on the social media, under the caption; “**CHURCH POSITION ON YOGA**” made a blatant attempt at selectively misrepresenting and distorting a particular church document and concealing the fact of the existence of a more pertinent document, viz. “Jesus Christ the Bearer of the Water of Life” and followed it up with other articles as a continuity on the same subject on subsequent days. No amount of protests from genuine Catholic readers seemed to have an impact on him.

What is more, you even made cogent arguments in favor of yoga thus leading the laity astray on an important “New Age” issue.

**On 26<sup>th</sup> June, 2015:** Fr. Conrad Saldanha, a priest persecuted for exposing the doctrinal errancies right from his seminary days, exposes the distortion in the above social media write-up of Bp. Dabre in one of the popular blog sites (MumbaiLaity)

in the Archdiocese of Bombay under the caption: “Catholic Bishop Thomas Dabre Obstinate Misrepresents Church Teachings”

**On 4-10<sup>th</sup> July, 2015:** The weekly “THE EXAMINER” the official mouth piece of the Archdiocese of Bombay, having got wind of my article carried a clarifying article by Bishop Thomas Dabre of Pune, *which continued the tradition of misrepresentation and deceptive concealment of fact.*

**On 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2015:** In the Hindustan times article, there was no remorse or clarification but only justification. On the other hand the priest who claims to have been practicing yoga since 1968 without any qualms of conscience, whom Bp. Thomas Dabre has also shepherded while as auxiliary of Bombay, was inadvertently honest enough to admit with great specifics: **“the Church in India never looked at yoga as wrong”** – which means even after the **Vatican issued 2 documents** on the subject, the Church in India **REBELLIOUSLY** chose to ignore the Vatican directives and consistently mislead and encourage the laity too into it and other matters of sound doctrine – **Apostasy of the Indian Church & Perjury of the Bishops!**

What more proof is required? The Bishops in India have to save their skin now for hoodwinking the people for long by promoting and encouraging yoga in the name of pseudo-inculturation. Hence you Bp. Dabre do it well by both misrepresentation of an older document on the subject and through deception concealing the fact of an existing more pertinent Vatican Document.

In a similar fashion, Bp. Agnelo Gracias, the emeritus Bishop of Bombay, who is notoriously well known for making heretical statements even in the public domain, and who had once succeeded you as chairman of the Doctrinal commission of the CBCI (it only proves the quality of the CBCI) is stated in the article of Mumbai Mirror as follows: “Meanwhile **Bishop Agnelo Gracias, vicar general** of the archdiocese, said, “Many members have come to us to complain about the issue. ***The church has never taken a stand on yoga*** and we consider it purely a form of exercise for better health and better life.” (Emphasis mine).

Which Church Bp. Agnelo Gracias, the Catholic Church or the Apostate church, if it is the later then you are absolved of perjury! But it is right to expose your persistent hypocrisy by continuing as Bishop emeritus under another hypocrite!

In May, 2008 Cardinal Oswald, in his office, had confronted me on being against yoga to which I responded by pointing out the New Document of the Vatican, viz. Jesus Christ the Bearer of the Water of Life, even asking him to read Chapter IV, first paragraph thereof. But he too stubbornly continues to promote and even write forwards to books on yoga and now endorses it by approving Bp. Dabre’s deceptive article on the subject and by not acting against his priests who freely promote and practice it and his seminary which uses it to form students.

**I challenge any Bishops or Cardinals in India or anywhere else who supports the contrary, rebellious and fraudulent cause, for an open debate: If you, as you claim, have truth on your side and if you have a sound theological reason to advocate yoga then what was the need to consistently MISREPRESENT AND EVEN CONCEAL facts from the simple laity and even from few sincere Bishops, priests and religious for at least 25 years on this issue? Why have you hidden your sin of Apostasy, Incredulity and Heresy committed under perjury from the simple laity and clergy?**

**According to the 1983 code of Canon Law, such a grave sin incurs automatic excommunication; latae sententiae and even other penalties if it is a clergy and our Archbishop Oswald Gracias is capable of absolving himself by making someone else a scapegoat!**

What will be also more interesting to note is the silence of the Vatican for so long without any action and this is not the only heresy prevalent here: is it because it has found the **rebellion** too consistent and difficult to handle? Could it be also on account of the tacit approval of Bishops like Oswald Gracias & his coterie and Thomas Dabre? Could it also be that the spirituality of double speak, which is the fruit of yoga, been used to hoodwink the “so called idiots” in the Vatican? (at least that is how the Vatican and the Pope was referred to in the seminary where I have studied).

The double speak or falsification of facts still goes on. Yet the Vatican, it seems, will still prefer to listen to its Bishop than the sincere layman or priest.

You know it well that I have brought to your notice similar facts while from my seminary days, even when you were the auxiliary Bishop of the Archdiocese of Bombay. I have had to face much from the heretics who followed a similar pattern of misrepresentation, distortion and concealment of Church dogmas, even presenting their personal opinions as Church teachings. Later their sympathizers were bent on not having me ordained to the priesthood at all cost, even by pressurizing me to leave. Subsequently, Cardinal Ivan having understood the malice behind the action had me ordained after a year of extension.

Later, when Cardinal Ivan left the shores of Mumbai to take up assignment as prefect of the Congregation for the Evangelization of people and **as I continued to voice my concern with the heretical teachings of the Bishops**, the ones who followed him in his chair started a concerted attack, beginning with the then Administrator Bp. Bosco Penha. Having not succeeded they started, with the help of nefarious elements, to defame and defrock me through the abuse of Canon Laws (one of the key abuser is one of the 9 advisers to the Pope and is considered an expert in Canon law of the church and even tried to have me behind bars civilly). Let the Vatican reply to my letters and the letters of my advocate directly to them and prove me wrong on this.

Though you Bishops are disobedient to the word and tradition of the church, yet you know how to demand such obedience from your subordinates. Indeed you have a right but you have no right to demand acquiescence to heretical teachings or ask anyone to pay obeisance to Baal in the name of obedience.

Now "The Examiner" which is the official weekly of the establishment of the church in Mumbai, India by doing so only carries on with a tradition long rampant in the Church in India of promoting and encouraging heretical thoughts. Such heretics and their teachings were partly curbed when Cardinal Ivan Dias had taken up the reign of Bombay but he too, many a times, was hoodwinked by cunning bishops and theologians like you.

Thanks to some kind soul for alerting me, a prisoner of the faith, with a copy of this above referred article, after the misleading newspaper article.

The two documents of the Vatican, also available on the Vatican site but in Bp. Dabre's repertoire are:

1. **Orationis Formas** in the year 1989 (OF or *On some aspects of Christian Meditation*)
2. **Jesus Christ the Bearer of the Water of Life** in the year 2003. (JCBWL)

Lets also see if your so called theological reasonings and cogent arguments also stands the test of sound logic and truth:

At the beginning itself, in your **misleading** article, you make a deliberate attempt in vague language and in variance to your headline, to mislead the simple laity thus: "*Some of my friends have asked about the **Vatican position on yoga** and so I am sending you **my reflections** on Surya Namaskar and yoga*" (Emphasis mine)

In the above statement there are two contrary assertions in one statement and if we take into consideration the headline also then what do we conclude: Is it the **Vatican position** or the **Bishop's personal reflections** or **are we to believe** that his arrived position, with misrepresentation, concealment and distortions, with dim arguments are synonymous with the Vatican position?

Maybe after reading this exposure about your faulty thinking ridden with flaws you may want to claim that you stopped doing yoga and hence faltered in making a coherent statement!

On the other hand: Is what is written really the Vatican position on Yoga as claimed by the sincere sounding Bishop? Is it not another way of making use of the official mouthpiece or the resources of the official Church to further his own dishonestly deceptive end? What is more there is a ready backing of the most forgetful Archbishop of Mumbai, one of the 9 advisers to Pope Francis. (from the many experiences of the laity and priests too; they have observed that Cardinal Oswald Gracias gets into amnesia or claims lack of awareness only when confronted with the truth: which means mentally he is not fit to run the Archdiocese, because consistent amnesia is a serious issue for those in positions of responsibility). (one such reference is in the public domain: (<http://ephesians511blog.com/2015/04/26/the-new-community-bible-cardinal-oswald-gracias-still-in-denial-of-responsibility-for-its-errors-2/>))

All this deceit is taking place after having been exposed by the great apologist Michael Prabhu in November, 2013 and of late by me in the following 2 blogs respectively:

1. <http://ephesians511blog.com/2013/11/16/is-most-rev-thomas-dabre-former-chairmandoctrinal-commission-a-proponent-of-yoga/>

2. <https://mumbailaity.wordpress.com/2015/06/26/catholic-bishop-thomas-dabre-obstinately-misrepresents-church-teachings/>

You Bishop Thomas Dabre also claim that you have based your arguments on Nostra Aetate. Such claims of using Nostra Aetate to prove one's erroneous doctrine on inculturation and inter-religious dialogue, are not new to me as I have seen Cardinals, Bishops, theologians and priest deliberately corrupting the Faith of Christ through **pseudo** syncretism or pseudo-inculturation or pseudo-inter-religious dialogue or through an indiscriminate inter-religious prayer gathering and claim their justification through Nostra Aetate.

The document Nostra Aetate does say that there is a "ray of truth in other religions". Bp. Dabre seems to have separated the good and true in yoga by applying the principles of Nostra Aetate for a "**New Age concept**". In the meanwhile, the pertinent document (JCBWL) which exposes "NEW AGE" overrules the principle of Nostra Aetate.

Such indiscriminate application of Nostra Aetate can be understood thus: A child ate chicken biryani and on account of indigestion passed whole pieces of chicken in it: will you apply the principles of Nostra Aetate here Bp. Dare and separate the good from the evil thereof? I gather from your article that "**simple people** can't make a valid distinction" **BUT** you perhaps can! If the above example sounds abhorrent to you then read my arguments against your opinions and you will find that your actions are obnoxiously abhorrent and have tried to eat and feed others too.

If that logic doesn't fit into your yogically-corrupted brains, then let me ask and, more so, since yoga and its exercises comes under the category of "New Age": Why have you not mentioned the most pertinent document of the Church on the subject, viz. "**Jesus Christ the Bearer of the Water of Life**"? Why are you so reluctant to brand yoga as "New Age" **when an important document on that subject has branded it as "New Age"**? The document is a reflection of at least 2 dicasteries in the Vatican in collaboration with other important dicasteries too. On the other hand, your reflection is a one-man show with a corrupt and deceitful intent, aided and abetted by Archbishop of Bombay, Cardinal Oswald Gracias and his coterie and one of the 9 advisors to the Pope.

Even if you have a body of theologians to reflect on a given subject, but with you as the catechizing head and Bishop will still come out with a corrupt version of Catholic teachings. I say this because you have done it in the past and one such example is the New Community Bible of 2008. At that time you were heading the CBCI commission on Doctrine and gave us the most corrupted version of the Bible, replete with errors of all kinds, with a **Nihil Obstat from you**. i.e. free from errors.

Can the so called "loyal Indian theologians" who boast of their theologizing in the Indian context, whose mouth-piece and mentor you are, be proud of misdeeds. Perhaps many of them may!

We in the Catholic Church don't have the RTI (Right to Information), otherwise a lot of the evil lies and corrupt acts of the Bishops would have come to light.

By that very act, in 2008, you should have taken the moral obligation to step down or publicly apologize but neither was forthcoming, while Cardinal Oswald Gracias kept fiddling around with words to assuage the serious protest by **simple people, who** apparently seems to be in need of catechesis, according to you.

The same weekly, The Examiner, refused to publish the several letters of protest and selectively printed what was false and misleading.

Could it be that you don't believe in the dangers and difficulties of "New Age"? It's because your thinking and, prodded by you, the thinking of the many Bishops especially in Mumbai and India are in many ways "New Age". In other words you Bishops by your heretical leanings and teachings are in the forefront to destroy the church by fostering and dishonestly catechizing, misrepresenting and distorting truth and encouraging the flock likewise.

<https://mumbailaity.wordpress.com/2015/06/21/should-christians-dabble-with-yogic-exercises-fr-conrad-saldanha/>

<http://frconradsaldanha.blogspot.in/2015/06/should-christians-dabble-with-yogic.html>

**What is certain is this: one of the things that this “New Age” spirituality does is that it allows one to double speak, hoodwink, distort, be dishonest, abuse their powers, abuse the teachings of the church and abuse every legitimate laws be it canon, civil or natural and you too prove here how you are doing it and how the ones who allowed your articles in the “The Examiner” doing it likewise? When confronted the Archbishop of Bombay, Oswald Gracias will pretend to lack knowledge or feign ignorance, while he has also appointed heretics to officiate at The Examiner, some of whom his predecessor Cardinal Ivan Dias had kept at safe distance.**

Are we as humans capable of arriving at the truth; is truth imminent to man? With all your knowledge and suggestions of solutions you still lack clarity on the subject you are arguing about? In fact you have contradicted yourself.? Even in your actions, many a times the suggestions and solutions you give sound hypocritical; when your own house is in disorder and you have not been able to correct the indiscriminate evil from the practitioners of yoga then how can you suggest a proper catechesis approach?

Let’s assume there is goodness in what you are claiming but let me see by the fruit among the promoters and practitioners of yoga whether the manner of tree is good and that they are wonderful witnesses now to the gospel. (cfr.**Mt. 7:16**) You yourself prove by your fruit and the priest you joined you in support of it, both in the newspaper article and the subsequent “The Examiner” through a letter to the editor!

The priest who wrote in support of you subsequently through a letter to the “The Examiner” (11-17<sup>th</sup> July, 2015) prefers to be more explicit in his choice: **to be an imitator of dogs**, rather than of Christ Jesus. (cfr. I Cor. 4:11; 11: 1; Eph. 5:1; 1 Thes. 2:14). There could be more to his choices for imitation!

Some of the worst corrupt theologians, who are used to deceiving and manipulating church doctrine, and abuse their authority and the laws of the church and land are the very ones who will come out vociferously in defense of Yoga. Could the reverse be true also in this sense: can we not safely assume that those who have tasted this fruit of Gnosticism (yoga) are the very ones who corrupt church doctrine and at the same time appear faithful and loyal to the church. I have had enough encounters of such kinds. There are some who can’t take a stand for Christ Jesus as their guru but instead proclaim someone else as their guru. Yet you as their Bishop do nothing about it, even though they either were or are your subjects.

You also seem to be more vocal in testifying to the wonders of yoga than to the wonders that Christ could achieve in the lives of individuals. Hence whose witness are you? And if you say that you accommodate both then you are serving 2 masters and in the end it is only one!

Besides you, I have also seen Catholic yogis who apparently seem faithful to the church and its doctrine and will even aver that, but warped in their thinking but wise in their own eyes and in the eyes of the deceived. To the indiscriminate their faithfulness to the church makes it difficult to know the difference between these weeds and the wheat. (Cfr. Mt. 13:24-30). It is like the termites glued to the bark and you can’t make out the difference until the tree is down, even as the bark of Peter is sadly corroded from within.

Can you also tell us what constitutes Christian prayer that you recommend it through incoherent reasoning while the document invites us to be cautious?

Are you saying that we can safely and interchangeably use the yogic method to enter into Christian prayer with great benefit? Do we then reject the gospel call to repentance and turning to God and instead adopt your method arrived at through a wrong interpretation of the document and now say: Do yogic exercise and believe in the gospel or more specifically: yogic exercise and turn to god in prayer. (compare with what scripture exhorts us in 1 Tim. 4:8)

If you could distort the Vatican Document then what is there for you to distort the gospel of St. John too and say: “henceforth the true worshippers of the father shall worship in bodily postures and breathing exercises, uttering sacred mantras of surya namaskar” (Can be fine tuned a little more to suit your mindset and evil intent). But how will you do it, quite a few of the laity had passed a judgment on your Nihil Obstat to a Bible loaded with falsehood.

Your cogent reasonings, besides the serious heretical goof-ups and distortions in your article in the Examiner and endorsed by the Archdiocese of Bombay throws up several questions, more so since you try to appeal to human reason.

From your particular reasonings in the article, with your reference no. in bracket let me affirm: (the **emphases are mine** in your statements quoted here.)

1. According to you Christians now need to also “develop a **relationship with the Sun**” and I do not know what happens to our **relationship** with the Son of God in union with the Father and Holy Spirit. (**Ref. pt. a**). And the Sun becomes a living being for Bp. Dabre with whom one can have a **relationship!** He confirms it more explicitly later, in another statement)

2. A Christian now needs to be “re-energized in ones bodily faculties and organs” (wish you were more specific about which organs!) God the Holy Spirit too may have no place in this new schema of yours. (**ref. pt. b**) This indeed is some Gnostic knowledge! What cannot be achieved by mere walking or being in the sun is not enough but something more and yet vague is to be achieved by doing surya namaskar. Gone to the dogs!

3. Shall I now for the sake of healing practice yoga, because there is no living God to give it to us or his physicians in modern medicines to alleviate. Christians have to dabble with the occult cosmic energy to receive healing. (**ref. Pt. c**) Is healing a higher value than the purity of the faith, that we could even seek it indiscriminately and at any cost from occult sources and put our souls in peril?

4. In the first place: Am I seeing a “**certain balance and equilibrium**” in you and your distortions that you should recommend yoga to achieve it? But there is definitely a concentrated effort on your part and hence I do not deny your accomplishment of a **mental concentration** directed towards the object of hell. (**ref. pt. d**) You may even beat the father of lies as far as your **mental concentration** is concerned!

5. You find the Hindu regarding the sun as god a problematic BUT I find your interference in their personal beliefs and then sitting in judgment over them from your rational point of view a bigger problematic. (**ref. pt. e**). On the other hand, later when you recommend the mantras you seem to have no problem if we regard the sun as the god of gods (mantra 9). You insult the Hindus and more so the Christians by betraying the faith and also the oath, which you have taken to faithfully hand it down to the flock of Christ – perjury!

6. You assert that it is possible “to regard the sun just as the **cosmic force of light, energy and vitalization**, but not as a person nor a god but just a **creature** of God.” (Out of the abundance of the heart the mouth has spoken again and hence the heretic Bishop has spoken a secret knowledge (Gnosticism) again: **creation of God is to be bowed to by Christians as a creature of God.**

To such was spoken the words of St. Paul: “For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and wickedness of men who by their wickedness suppress the truth. For what can be known about God is plain to them, because God has shown it to them. Ever since the creation of the world his invisible nature, namely, his eternal power and deity, has been clearly perceived in the things that have been made. So they are without excuse; for although they knew God they did not honor him as God or give thanks to him, but they became futile in their thinking and their senseless minds were darkened. **Claiming to be wise, they became fools, and exchanged the glory of the immortal God for images** resembling mortal man or birds or animals or reptiles.” Rom 1:18-23) (**ref. pt f**.)

7. That a Christian can utter the 12 mantras of the surya namaskar while practicing it; you say. From among your many deceptive recommendations, you suggest not reciting the 13<sup>th</sup> mantra (what a ploy!) but it is fine to recite the other mantras such as; “I prostrate/bow to him who is god of gods (om adityaya namah; the 9<sup>th</sup> mantra!) Is there also not a satanic deception about the whole suggestion in its entirety? Don’t you know the meaning of the term ‘om’ and “mantras’ O great master of Indian philosophy and adviser to the many bishops of India and abroad? (**ref. pt. g**). The yogi priest Fr. Joe Pereira, who supported you did seem to covertly suggest that one puts on the mind of a dog! Knowing my opposition to yoga he does back-bite and bark behind my back in plenty!

8. It now seems that Christians can freely practice surya namaskar either by separating what seems apparently **good** from the **bad BUT** for what purpose and to achieve which goals which the Christians, in ages past and in our times too, have not been able to achieve through the faith handed down by the Apostles? (**ref. pt. g**)

“Woe to those who call evil good and good evil.” **Isaiah 5:20**

9. Further in the subsequent first point after your rational deceptive exposition, you Bp Dabre through mention of the Vatican document is asserting more than what the document is asserting: “*There are some good **psycho-somatic** values in some non-Christian methods of prayer which can be appreciated in the light of Vatican II*”

- Which are those values Bp. Dabre which cannot be found in the great tradition of Christian prayer? It seems to me that you really seem to have a secret knowledge (Gnostic) of these values too, because even the document *Orationis Formas* refuses to discuss these **Psychological values** as affirmed in para 2.

If human beings have to come before God with bodily postures, as in yoga and if that gives “**ease, quiet and peace**” as you claim, then where is the need to come before God Bp. Thomas Dabre? (compare Mt. 11: 28)

Can anyone enlighten me: If you have already achieved your peace; a fruit of reconciliation with God even before prayer, then why pray? Yogis are under deception and call that labored momentary calm peace while the Christian peace flows from reconciliation to God’s will.

That is why it is recommended that the documents of the Church are to be read in the light of God’s word and through its ancient tradition. But you Bishop have no regard for the Word of God or the tradition or magisterium of the church.

From the document which you have concealed from the simple in all your deceptive articles in the public domain I quote from it: “Nevertheless, given the underlying vision of *New Age* religiosity, it is **on the whole difficult** to reconcile it with Christian doctrine and spirituality.” (JCBWL, Para 2:2) Hence, why discuss even the part thereof, Bp. Thomas Dabre, when the whole concept of “New Age is unacceptable.

**AbracaDabre!** Has just managed to not only reconcile “New Age with Christian doctrine and spirituality but also hoodwink Bishops, priests and laity and some in the Vatican too and even have it endorsed by the Archbishop of Bombay and his appointees who manage his weekly magazine, “The Examiner”. **Congrats!** No resistance from any quarters or any protests too so far to such a blatant transgression! Vox yogi vox dei! Because the yogi is the Bishop!

Besides, you as a scholar in Indian culture and with a doctorate on Sant Tukaram, don’t you understand that though the Vatican document, *Orationis Formas* uses the term “Eastern prayer practices” but nowhere, in Indian culture or religion, is yoga, as hatha, with its various postures looked as a prayer practice. It is instead looked upon as a method or a technique to attain towards god; aimed at discovering the one who is within. The exercises fit in that category in all sense.

Hence you, with your background, adducing to the term, even through misrepresentation, in order to draw out your nefarious self-made catechesis, and almost getting a Nihil Obstat from the Bombay hierarchy, is unbelievably mindboggling and too inconceivably incredible.

There are many other flaws in your teachings and I could go on and on dissecting your deficient theology. But what I have exposed here and in my other articles on the same subject, in the public domain, should more than suffice.

While the Apostle of Christ is referred to as “Doubting Thomas”, you surely have given us reasons to doubt you Thomas, as Bishop and Shepherd of God’s holy people.

If you are genuinely a man of conscience then what is needed is an acknowledgment of your many misdeeds and step down as Bishop for not being true to your office, while expecting the self-absolving endorsers of yours to pre-empt your act.

Yours in the eternal Faith

**Fr. Conrad Saldanha**

**(Prisoner for the sake of the truth of Catholic Faith)**

I am aware that this exposure is going to shock many, and many among these would not want to believe that the Bishop is blatant in leading the flock astray. Some would still want to see their bishops as upholder of the truth. If you do so then you

are doing it at your own risk of losing your soul and will be responsible for the loss of many souls who have been led astray by these persistently false and rebellious teachings. Instead pray and if possible please do voice your concern to the Bishops with alertness, even seeking their resignation for perjury and acting false to their calling, rather than being deceived by their gentle demeanor.

The article which you see below Bp. Dabre' article in the same weekly is another example of deception and corruption by another Bishop. It has been appearing under the same caption for many years in "The Examiner" and nobody has raised even an eyelid as to its heretical title. I shall write about it in greater detail in my next article.